

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS ON ADDENDUM 62K (April 8, 2000)

Comment	Name of commenter	Contact	Summary of comment	Proposed response
0001/001	Maury Wawryk Venmar CES Inc. Ph: 306-242-3663 F: 306-242-3484 E: mwawryk@venmarvent.com		I agree with the changes listed in Addendum K.	ACCEPT.
0002/001	Todd Veiler Venmar CES Inc. Ph: 306-242-3663 F: 306-242-3484 E: tweiler@venmarvent.com		SAME AS 0001/001	ACCEPT.
0003/001	Luc Janelle Venmar CES Inc. Ph: 306-242-3663 F: 306-242-3484 E: ljanelle@venmarvent.com		SAME AS 0001/001	ACCEPT.
0004/001	Hal Levin Building Ecology Research Gr Ph: 831-425-3946 F: 831-426-6522 E: hlevin@cruzio.com		<p>4.1.2.1 – Delete the period at the end of the section after the word “construction” and add the following: “and the ventilation provided to the added spaces must meet the requirements of this standard.”</p> <p>This clarifies the intent and leaves less for misinterpretation.</p>	REJECT The added verbiage proposed is in conflict with the exception.
0004/002	Hal Levin		<p>4.1.2.3 – Add the words “replaces one that” after the words “a building that” in the first line and delete the words “and replaced” in the same line.</p> <p>This clarifies the meaning and reduces the possibility of misinterpretation.</p>	
0005/001	Marco Giamberardino		4.1.2.3, Replacement – Rephrase	

Comment	Name of commenter	Contact	Summary of comment	Proposed response
	BOMA International Ph: 202-326-6356 F: 202-371-0181 E:mgiamberardino@boma.org		<p>beginning of second sentence to read “Unaltered components not being removed or replaced are not required to be brought into compliance...”</p> <p>This provides needed clarity.</p>	
0005/002	Marco Giamberardino		<p>4.1.2.4, Substantial Alterations – In b., replace “assessed value” with “replacement cost”.</p> <p>Replacement cost offers a more accurate measure.</p>	
0005/003	Marco Giamberardino		<p>4.1.2.5, Change in Use – Add “for that space” to the end of the sentence.</p> <p>This provides needed specificity.</p>	
0006/001	Gary Kuhl Philip Morris Mgmt Corp. Ph: 917-663-3467 F: 917-663-5849		<p>4.1.2.1. - Retain the language from the first public review draft and renumber following sections accordingly. Thus: <u>4.1.2.1 Retroactive Application.</u> <u>Except as indicated in this section, the requirements of this standard shall not be retroactively applied to existing buildings.</u></p> <p>As a major building owner and tenant in North America and around the world, Philip Morris is a regular user of ANSI/ASHRAE 62-1989 and will be impacted by virtually every aspect of any revision. Philip Morris objects to this proposed independent substantive change. It should be</p>	